Perioperative Outcomes Among Surgeons Who Operated the Night Prior

Eric Sun, MD/PhD Michelle M. Mello, JD/PhD Michelle T. Vaughn, MPH Sachin Kheterpal, MD/MBA Mary T. Hawn, MD Justin B. Dimick, MD/MPH Anupam B. Jena, MD/PhD

Disclosures

- Research support from NIDA, NIA, and AHRQ
- Advisory Board of Lucid Lane, LLC
- Consulting fees from Analysis Group, Inc.

Motivation

- Fatigue has been shown to adversely affect performance across a variety of domains (Neu et al., 2011; Goode 2003; Fullagar 2015)
- Concerns about fatigue and patient outcomes (Barger 2006; Gates 2018)
- While residents face duty hour restrictions, attendings do not
- Is this safe for patients?

Summary of Findings

- Operating overnight was not associated with an increased risk of in-hospital death or major complication among the subsequent day's cases
- Small decrease (?) among subsequent day's cases
- Results generally robust across a variety of subgroup and sensitivity analyses

- Background
- Sample
- Statistical Approach
- Results
- Conclusion

- Background
- Sample
- Statistical Approach
- Results
- Conclusion

Background

- Previous work
 - Gates (2018): Systematic review of 47 studies, generally found no association between fatigue and poorer outcomes
 - Govindarajan (2015): Retrospective analysis of ~40k patients undergoing surgery at a Canadian province: no association between operating overnight and outcomes on the next day's cases
- Limitations of previous work
 - Underpowered to study mortality/complications
 - Subject to confounding (surgeons who work overnight are different from those who don't)
 - Self-reported overnight operating
 - Overly stringent definitions f operating overnight

- Background
- Sample
- Statistical Approach
- Results
- Conclusion

Sample

- Inclusion criteria
 - Cases between January 1, 2010 and August 30, 2020
 - Nonmissing data on comorbidities, mortality, surgery CPT code, and whether the surgeon operated the previous night
 - Nonmissing data on case times
 - "Major therapeutic procedure" as defined by AHRQ

Sample

- Initial Sample 2,110,956 cases
- Exclusion criteria
 - Surgeons who never operated overnight (n=1,332,069)
 - >1 attending surgeon (n=54,838)
 - Missing data: age (n=89), ASAPS (n=7,902)
 - ASA 5/6 (n=1,351)
 - Low risk/out of OR procedures
 - Upper endoscopy (n=47,127)
 - Lower endoscopy (n=22,262)
 - Opthalmologic surgeries (n=41,851)
 - CVC access (n=16,435)
 - Surgery CPTs with <100 observations (n=58,753)
 - Surgeons with <100 observations (n=30,295)

Sample

- Final Sample
 - 498,234 cases
 - 1,131 surgeons
 - 20 US institutions

- Background
- Sample
- Statistical Approach
- Results
- Conclusion

Statistical Approach

- Primary Outcome: In-Hospital Death or Major Complication
 - Major Complications: Thromboembolic events, MI, stroke, PNA, sepsis
 - Identified by discharge diagnosis codes
- Secondary Outcomes
 - Surgery length (surgery end-surgery start)
 - Death
 - Major complications
 - Minor complications (UTI, SSI)

Statistical Approach

- Exposure
 - Having operated overnight before the current day' surgery
 - "Overnight" defined as 2300-0700
 - Measured using surgery start and end times
 - Baseline model was yes/no for any overnight operating time
 - Sensitivity analyses examined alternate definitions

Statistical Approach

- Multivariable Linear Regression
 - Key innovation is use of "surgeon fixed effects"
 - Reduces confounding because we are not comparing surgeons who operate overnight to those who do not
 - Compare a given surgeon's cases where the surgeon operated overnight compared to the cases where the same surgeon did not
 - Additional variables include
 - Patient characteristics (Age, sex, comorbidities)
 - Surgery CPT Fixed Effects
 - Hour of Surgery

Statistical Analyses

- Subgroup Analysis
 - "High risk" patients→upper 25th percentile of predicted mortality/major complication
- Sensitivity Analysis
 - Two alternate approaches to measuring intensity of operating overnight
 - Five groups:
 - no overnight operating
 - 0-2h
 - 2-4h
 - 4-6h
 - 6-8h
 - Introduce hours operated overnight as a linear variable

- Background
- Sample
- Statistical Approach
- Results
- Conclusion

	Surgeon Operated the Previous Night (N=13,098)	Surgeon did Not Operate the Previous Night (N=485,136)	p-value/g-value
Patient demographics			
Age, mean (s.e)	53.91 (0.15)	55.32 (0.02)	<0.001/0.09
Male, % (s.e.)	52.32 (0.44)	46.72 (0.07)	<0.001/0.11
Comorbidities, % (s.e.)			
Congestive Heart Failure	8.73 (0.25)	5.32 (0.03)	<0.001/0.15
Arrhythmia	18.46 (0.34)	13.07 (0.05)	<0.001/0.16
Valvular Disease	7.72 (0.23)	5.18 (0.03)	<0.001/0.11
Pulmonary Circulatory Disorders	4.57 (0.18)	2.94 (0.02)	<0.001/0.10
Peripheral Vascular Disease	9.04 (0.25)	5.36 (0.03)	<0.001/0.16
Hypertension, Uncomplicated	32.75 (0.41)	29.38 (0.07)	<0.001/0.07
Hypertension, Complicated	11.70 (0.28)	7.16 (0.04)	<0.001/0.17
Paralysis	1.70 (0.11)	1.08 (0.01)	<0.001/0.06
Neurological Disorder	6.66 (0.22)	4.55 (0.03)	<0.001/0.10
Chronic Pulmonary Disease	14.05 (0.30)	11.61 (0.05)	<0.001/0.08
Diabetes, Uncomplicated	11.66 (0.28)	9.95 (0.04)	<0.001/0.06
Diabetes, Complicated	5.10 (0.19)	3.09 (0.02)	<0.001/0.12
Hypothyroidism	7.66 (0.23)	8.29 (0.04)	0.010/0.02
Chronic Renal Failure	12.35 (0.29)	7.73 (0.04)	<0.001/0.17
Liver Disease	5.47 (0.20)	4.00 (0.03)	<0.001/0.07
Peptic Ulcer Disease	0.73 (0.07)	0.58 (0.01)	0.030/0.02
AIDS	0.41 (0.06)	0.24 (0.01)	<0.001/0.03
Lymphoma	1.00 (0.09)	1.38 (0.02)	<0.001/0.03
Metastatic Disease	6.06 (0.21)	13.99 (0.05)	<0.001/0.23
Solid Tumor	13.83 (0.30)	24.65 (0.06)	<0.001/0.25
Rheumatoid Arthritis	2.79 (0.14)	2.32 (0.02)	<0.001/0.03
Coagulopathy	7.59 (0.23)	4.23 (0.03)	<0.001/0.17
Obesity	16.44 (0.32)	14.96 (0.05)	<0.001/0.04
Weight Loss	5.79 (0.20)	3.81 (0.03)	<0.001/0.10
Fluid or Electrolyte Disorder	17.58 (0.33)	9.94 (0.04)	<0.001/0.25
Blood Loss	1.21 (0.10)	0.85 (0.01)	<0.001/0.04
Iron Deficiency Anemia	2.72 (0.14)	2.07 (0.02)	<0.001/0.05
Alcohol Abuse	1.12 (0.09)	0.66 (0.01)	<0.001/0.06
Drug Abuse	4.21 (0.18)	2.42 (0.02)	<0.001/0.11
Psychosis	0.75 (0.08)	0.51 (0.01)	<0.001/0.03
Depression	11.35 (0.28)	9.95 (0.04)	<0.001/0.05
ASA Score			
Physical Status Score, mean (s.e.)			
	2.62 (0.01)	2,53 (0.00)	<0.001/0.13
Emergent Case, % (s.e.)	8.70 (0.25)	2.60 (0.02)	<0.001/0.37
High-Risk Patients, % (s.e.)	35.9 (0.4)	24.7 (0.1)	<0.001/0.26

^{01/0.26} University



Summary of Outcomes

	Operating Overnight	No Operating Overnight	р
Primary Outcome	5.89	5.87	0.93
Surgery Length (min)	112.7	117.4	0.020
Death (%)	0.87	0.89	0.82
Major Cx (%)	5.40	5.34	0.83
Minor Cx (%)	2.34	2.27	0.67

Hours operated night prior modeled as a categorical variable					
Amount of time worked between 11pm and 7am the previous night	Death or major complication, adjusted % (95% CI)	Absolute adjusted difference (95% CI)			
None (N=485,136)	5.87 (5.85 to 5.88)	-			
>0 to <2 hours (N=9,117)	6.05 (5.50 to 6.60)	0.18% (-0.38% to 0.75%) p=0.52			
2 to <4 hours (N=2,116)	5.47 (4.35 to 6.59)	-0.40% (-1.52% to 0.72%) p=0.49			
4 to <6 hours (N=883)	6.01 (4.17 to 7.85)	0.15% (-1.70% to 1.99%) p=0.88			
6-8 hours (N=982)	5.21 (3.60 to 6.83)	-0.65% (-2.27% to 0.97%) p=0.43			
Hours worked night prior modeled linearly					
Absolute adjusted change in death or major complication per additional hour worked (95% CI)	-0.05% (-0.22% to 0.12%) p=0.57				

Conclusions

- Surgeons who operated overnight—even for extensive periods of time—did not have worse outcomes when the operated the next day
- Results were robust to a variety of subgroup and sensitivity analyses
- Allowing surgeons who operated overnight to work the next day appears to be safe at least as it is practiced in this sample of (large) institutions