Multicenter Perioperative Outcomes Group (MPOG)
PCRC Meeting Notes – Monday, June 10, 2019

Ground Rules for PCRC

1. Each protocol must have specific testable hypothesis with data available in MPOG data structure
2. People requesting specific data elements must also supply that data type to MPOG. If you don't submit that data type currently, then you can't get that type of data type out. However, if you have a co-investigator from another site that does supply that data, then you can ask for that type of data. The reason is so someone on the research team understands the limitations of each data element being requested and used
3. To ensure that there is not a lack of clarity about what the status of the proposal is, each proposal will get the following overall decision at the end of each presentation and discussion
    a. Accept with no changes
    b. Accept with minor changes send revision electronically
    c. Accept with major changes and represent at PCRC
    d. Reject
4. Meeting will be recorded to be shared later with members of MPOG via the MPOG website. There were no objections to this via the members that were on the call.

Attendance:

Mike Aziz (Oregon)	Zach Landis-Lewis (Michigan)
Dan Biggs (Oklahoma)	Mike Mathis (Michigan)
Ruth Cassidy (Michigan)	Graciela Mentz (Michigan)
David Clark (Michigan)	Jill Mhyre (Arkansas)
David Clark (Stanford)	Michael Montana (Wash U)
Ben Cloyd (Michigan)	Nathan Pace (Utah)
Robert Craft (Tennessee)	Nirav Shah (Michigan)
Douglas Colquhoun (Michigan)	Amy Shanks (Michigan)
Allison Janda (Michigan)	Allie Thompson (Michigan)
Allison Janda (Michigan)	Kevin Tremper (Michigan)
Sachin Kheterpal (Michigan)	Christopher Trsoianos (Cleveland Clinic)
Tory Lacca (Michigan)	Zack Turnbill (Cornell)

Announcements/Updates:

- Blinded record index is ready at MPOG via hashing which is a one-way mathematical function. It is not encryption.

PCRC 0088: Exploratory analysis of preconditions for tailoring performance feedback to MPOG Anesthesiology Providers
PI: Dr. Zach Landis-Lewis
Institution: University of Michigan

- This project is intended to help inform a new RCT and to tailor ASPIRE report feedback.
- This project is potentially an opportunity to help us improve more by looking at positive and negative gaps in improvement
- Question: Does this type of project require a PCRC?
    - Mike Aziz doesn't think it does since this will probably not be published
    - Sachin Kheterpal – Our current thought from MPOG, if anyone is accessing data that is not their own data, either the quality or research committee has to approve it.
        - Mike Aziz – It's the responsible thing to do to be transparent. However, we should make it easier for other institutions to do exploratory analysis.
        - Sachin Kheterpal – The next time we want to do exploratory analysis, we will send out an email to discuss.
        - Nirav Shah – Although this exploratory analysis may not be submitted to an Anesthesiology journal but it may be submitted to another journal for methodology.
- Institutional specific issues because of institutional culture or a technical problem and it's not capturing it appropriately. So is feedback appropriate? This study would not capture specific institutional or technical problems
- Comments from the MPOG group, are they tackling the right methods?
    - Yes this helps us understand the science of what we are doing on a daily basis. Helps us to figure out how to deliver better information to providers
- Has anyone tried internal versions that are not ASPIRE based?
    - There is probably change happening at each site. OHSU is letting people know that they are low performers for specific ASPIRE measures. There is confounding at each institutional level that is unknown to the coordinating center.
- No concerns from the MPOG group about proceeding with this exploratory analysis
- PI - We are going after communication problems and yes there are many confounders. Steps will be taken to do qualitative interviewing at UM and think about the potential of doing it at other sites too.
- Nirav Shah – We will also speak to providers at the July ASPIRE meeting
- Is the provider data skewed?
    - That hasn't been looked at yet but yes that will be looked at during the analysis

Final Decision: Accept

	Vote
Academic Medical Center (AMC) Amsterdam	**N/A**
Beaumont	**N/A**
Brigham and Women's	**N/A**
Bronson	**N/A**
Children's Hospital of Orange County (CHOC)	**N/A**
Cleveland Clinic	**Accept**

Columbia	**N/A**
Duke	**N/A**
Henry Ford	**N/A**
Holland	**N/A**
MGH	**N/A**
Memorial Sloan Kettering	**N/A**
NY Langone	**N/A**
Oregon Health Science University	**Accept**
St. Joseph/Trinity	**N/A**
Sparrow	**N/A**
Stanford	**Accept**
University Medical Center of Utrecht	**N/A**
University of Arkansas	**Accept**
University of California Los Angeles	**N/A**
University of Colorado	**N/A**
University of Michigan	**Abstain**
University of Oklahoma	**Accept**
University of Pennsylvania	**N/A**
University of Tennessee	**Accept**
University of Utah	**Accept with Electronic**
University of Vermont	**N/A**
University of Virginia	**N/A**
University of Washington	**N/A**
Vanderbilt	**N/A**
Wake Forest	**N/A**
Washington University, St. Louis	**N/A**
Weill-Cornell Medical Center – New York Presbyterian	**Accept**
Yale	**N/A**