# **Title: A Model to Predict the Risk of AKI after Spine Surgery using the KDIGO Definition and Multicenter Perioperative Outcome Group Database**

#### **Primary Investigator:** Bhiken Naik, MBBCh

**Co-investigators**: Douglas Colquhoun, MBCHB, MPH, Marcel Durieux MD, PhD, Tim McMurry PhD, Christopher Shaffrey MD, Sachin Kheterpal MD, MBA, George Mashour MD, PhD

#### **Primary Institution**: University of Virginia

**Type of Study:** Retrospective observational cohort study

#### **Introduction**

Major spine surgery is a common surgical procedure that was performed in 87 162 patients between 2009-11 in the United States alone. [1](#page-13-0) The Medicare spending for lumbar spine surgery has increased 500% to \$48[2](#page-13-1) million dollars over the last decade.<sup>2</sup> With more complex spine procedures being performed in an increasing aging population, the risk of postoperative complications is increased. Deyo et al reported a major postoperative complication incidence of 3.1%, which increased to 5.3% when three, or more co-morbidities were present.<sup>3</sup> Furthermore, after adjusting for other risk factors, the odds ratio for life-threatening complications with complex spine fusions is 2.95 (95% confidence interval [CI], 2.50-[3](#page-13-2).49) compared to simple decompression.<sup>3</sup>

Multilevel spine surgery is associated with significant intraoperative hemodynamic and hemostatic perturbations, potentially increasing the risk of developing postoperative acute kidney injury (AKI). There are, however, limited studies reporting the incidence of AKI in spine surgery. Earlier studies reported an incidence of renal insufficiency that varied between 0.88-0.91%, utilizing a definition of a greater

than 2mg/dl increase in creatinine from baseline.<sup>4,[5](#page-13-4)</sup> The latter definition however significantly under-estimates the milder, less severe forms of AKI. Naik et al reported an AKI rate of 3.9% in multilevel spine surgery based on the RIFLE classification with 3.2% falling in the RIFLE-Risk and 0.7% in the Injury category. (in print) Hypertension was the only perioperative risk factor associated with AKI with univariate analysis, but a small cohort of only 726 patients limited the strength of this study and motivates the investigation of AKI after major spine surgery in a large, multicenter population.

The importance of identifying the earlier stages of AKI is highlighted by the study of Bihorac et al. In a retrospective analysis of 10,518 patients undergoing major noncardiac surgery, Bihorac and colleagues demonstrated a 10-year survival of 65% without perioperative AKI versus 50% and 44% for patients who developed RIFLE-risk and injury respectively.<sup>6</sup> Furthermore, even when complete renal recovery occurred there was an increased risk of mortality a decade after the sentinel event. Hobson et al demonstrated similar poor outcomes in a cohort of 2973 cardiothoracic surgery patients with the less severe forms of AKI.<sup>7</sup> Therefore, the identification and long-term follow-up of patients with perioperative stage I AKI is extremely important.

Currently there are no studies reporting the incidence of AKI in spine surgery from a large perioperative database. We propose using the Multicenter Perioperative Outcomes Group (MPOG) database for the following: 1) define the contemporary incidence of AKI in spine surgery using the Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) classification, 2) define major independent predictors for AKI in spine surgery,

3) develop and validate a full pre-procedure risk model in addition to a simplified additive prediction tool that can be used by anesthesiologist and surgeons.

#### **Material and Methods**

#### Data Source

The MPOG database was utilized for this study. The MPOG database contains deidentified perioperative data relevant to this study. Institutional Review Board approval has already been obtained for all MPOG projects involving the University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan. Centers contributing preoperative history and physical data, intraoperative anesthesia records and postoperative laboratory result data were included for analysis. Procedures performed between the dates of January  $1<sup>st</sup>$  2007 and January 1st 2014 were reviewed.

Utilizing Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) codes, patients undergoing cervical, thoracic and/or lumbar spine surgery with and without osteotomy, fusion, correction of anomalous spinal vertebrae, instrumentation, artificial disc replacement and placement of bone grafts were identified. Demographic and preoperative data collected included age, sex, race, BMI, ASA physical status and the preoperative diagnosis of hypertension, diabetes mellitus, peripheral vascular disease and chronic kidney disease.

Intraoperative data included the anesthetic duration, total estimated intraoperative blood loss, volume of cell saver, crystalloid, colloid, packed red blood cells, fresh frozen plasma, cryoprecipitate transfused and the use of any antifibrinolytic. The need for intraoperative inotrope and/or vasopressor support, and the total dose of

drug infused were recorded. Both bolus and infusions of vasoactive agents was recorded. Lowest intraoperative hemoglobin, pH, bicarbonate and base deficit was obtained from intraoperative arterial blood gas determinations when available. Intraoperative hypotension was determined by a method previously described by Kheterpal et al. Each intraoperative anesthetic record was divided into consecutive tenminute epochs. The median systolic blood pressure (SBP) and median mean arterial pressure (MAP) for each ten-minute epoch was calculated. The use of a median value over ten minutes decreases the impact of monitoring artifacts and transient hypotension with limited clinical significance <sup>8</sup>. These median values were compared to absolute hypotension cutoff points: SBP <80, < 70, < 60, and MAP < 60, < 50, and < 40. In addition, the median values were compared to the preoperative blood pressure documented in the history and physical to assess hypotension relative to this baseline blood pressure: SBP 30% decrease, 40%, 50% and MAP 30% decrease, 40%, and 50%. The number of epochs below these absolute and relative hypotension cutoff points was calculated for each case.

## *Exclusion Criteria*

Patients younger than 18 years of age and those with chronic kidney disease requiring renal replacement therapy were excluded. We also excluded patients with no pre or postoperative creatinine value.

# *Definition of Acute Kidney Injury (AKI)*

The KDIGO classification was used to identify the different stages of AKI. Stage 1 was

defined as an increase in serum creatinine by 0.3mg/dL or more within 48 hours **or** Increase in serum creatinine to 1.5 times baseline or more within 7 days of surgery **or**  urine output less than 0.5 mL/kg/h for 6 hours. Stage 2 was an increase in creatinine 2- 2.9 x the baseline (urine output < 0.5 ml/kg/h for 12 hours) while stage III was an increase in creatinine 3x baseline or an increase in creatinine > 4mg/dl or the initiation of renal replacement therapy.

The most recent preoperative serum creatinine was used as the baseline measurement to which postoperative elevations were referenced. The maximum postoperative creatinine during the first week after surgery was compared to the preoperative baseline creatinine. This limit of the first week after surgery was chosen to exclude other non-operative causes of renal dysfunction, which were deemed more likely to occur after this time period*.* 

## *Statistical Analysis*

Linear regression will be used to develop a predictive model for maximum post-op creatinine; this model will be used in conjunction with a residual bootstrap to estimate individual patient risk for AKI. The regression model will be developed on a subset of the data, assessed using standard linear regression diagnostics and validated by either 10-fold cross-validation or bootstrap model validation. After model validation, a final model using the already selected predictors will be fit to the entire data set. We will consider baseline patient and procedure characteristics as candidate predictors.

Patients will then be stratified based on pre-operative risk, based on this stratification we will evaluate the risk associated with intraoperative characteristics. We hypothesize

that intraoperative characteristics differently affect high and low risk patients. In addition summary statistics will be calculated describing the patient population.

## *Power Analysis*

Naik et al reported 26 cases of AKI in a cohort of 726 patients **(3.6%)** based on the RIFLE definition in multi-level spine surgery (In print).

We have listed 25 predictor variables to model for post AKI with multi-level spine surgery. Based on the aforementioned incidence of AKI a logistic regression would need about 7,000 patient data to fit the model. By performing a validation to check the predictions, we double that estimate to 14,000 patients.

Since the database is expected to be limited to around 9,000 patients, we propose an alternative. We propose to analyze max post-op creatinine as a continuous variable and infer AKI from it; this leaves us estimating the impact of 25 predictor variables using a linear regression built on approximately 9,000 patients, which is more than sufficient.

*Justification for a separate AKI study*There is currently a project (PCRC-001) that is studying predictors and outcomes of postoperative acute kidney injury after noncardiovascular surgery. This study does include a spine surgery cohort. There was some discussion amongst the primary and co-investigators about whether a separate study for spine surgery is justified. Listed below are specific issues that are unique to spine surgery and that would benefit from a separate study 1. Volume of blood loss. This can vary from trivial amounts to 2-3 x circulating blood volume with major deformity contains the surgery.

2. Use of anti-fibrinolytics in major deformity surgery and its impact on blood conservation and ultimately AKI.3. The not uncommon practice of 'controlled hypotension' for these procedures and its impact on post-op AKI.4. The questions of high volume vs. low volume major spine centers and postoperative outcomes. 5. Differences between cervical vs. thoracic vs. lumbar surgery AKI risk 6. Primary vs. redo procedures.7. AKI risk with fusion vs. non-fusion cases. 8. Minimally invasive vs. open procedures.9. Anterior vs. posterior fusion.10. The high percentage of colloid use in spine surgery ( as a result of the Postoperative Visual Loss Study In Anesthesiology last year) and its impact on AKI.

11. Finally a 'spine-specific' model for risk identification and stratification would be

extremely valuable for perioperative team caring for these patients.

# *Questions for the Group/Limitations of the study*

- 1. Lack of urine output data in order to make the dx of AKI
- 2. Pre-op vs. first post-op Cr value as a baseline
- 3. There may be issues with the use of CPT codes varying between centers. We will need to perform an analysis of which centers use which codes (or perform which procedures)
- 4. It is possible patients are censored (i.e. discharged before Cr peaks)
- 5. There is sampling bias against cases with longer LOS (and thus more opportunities for detection of AKI)

Candidate variables for the MPOG PCRC: AKI and Spine Surgery Study.





# **Primary and Additional CPT Codes**







Reference:

<span id="page-13-0"></span>1. Shaffrey E, Smith JS, Lenke LG, Polly DW, Jr., Chen CJ, Coe JD, Broadstone PA, Glassman SD, Vaccaro AR, Ames CP, Shaffrey CI: Defining Rates and Causes of Mortality Associated with Spine Surgery: Comparison of Two Data Collection Approaches through the Scoliosis Research Society. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 2014<br>2. Raiaee SS. Bae HW. Kanim LE. Delamarter RB: Spinal fusion in the Ul

<span id="page-13-1"></span>Rajaee SS, Bae HW, Kanim LE, Delamarter RB: Spinal fusion in the United States: analysis of trends from 1998 to 2008. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 2012; 37: 67-76<br>3. Deyo RA, Mirza SK, Martin BI, Kreuter W, Goodman DC, Jarvik JG: Trends

<span id="page-13-2"></span>3. Deyo RA, Mirza SK, Martin BI, Kreuter W, Goodman DC, Jarvik JG: Trends, major medical complications, and charges associated with surgery for lumbar spinal stenosis in older adults. JAMA 2010; 303: 1259-65<br>4. Lee MJ, Konodi MA, Cizik AM, Bransford R

<span id="page-13-3"></span>Lee MJ, Konodi MA, Cizik AM, Bransford RJ, Bellabarba C, Chapman JR: Risk factors for medical complication after spine surgery: a multivariate analysis of 1,591 patients. Spine J 2012; 12: 197-206<br>5. Lee MI, Hacquebord J, Vars

<span id="page-13-4"></span>Lee MJ, Hacquebord J, Varshney A, Cizik AM, Bransford RJ, Bellabarba C, Konodi MA, Chapman J: Risk factors for medical complication after lumbar spine surgery: a multivariate analysis of 767 patients. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 2011; 36:  $1801-6$ <br>6. E

<span id="page-13-5"></span>6. Bihorac A, Yavas S, Subbiah S, Hobson CE, Schold JD, Gabrielli A, Layon AJ, Segal MS: Long-term risk of mortality and acute kidney injury during hospitalization after major surgery. Ann Surg 2009; 249: 851-8<br>7. Hobson CE. Yavas S. Segal MS. Schold I

<span id="page-13-6"></span>7. Hobson CE, Yavas S, Segal MS, Schold JD, Tribble CG, Layon AJ, Bihorac A: Acute kidney injury is associated with increased long-term mortality after cardiothoracic surgery. Circulation 2009; 119: 2444-53

<span id="page-13-7"></span>8. Reich DL, Hossain S, Krol M, Baez B, Patel P, Bernstein A, Bodian CA: Predictors of hypotension after induction of general anesthesia. Anesth Analg 2005; 101: 622-8